**STAT 133**

**Final Project**

**DUE Fri Dec 5, 11:55pm – with intermediate deadlines**

**STEP 1. TEAM FORMING – DUE Thursday Nov 13**

Create a project team consisting of 4 or 5 members.

**STEP 2. DATA MASHING – DUE 11:55pm Tuesday Dec 2: This is a hard deadline just like a regular HW assignment.**

Your goal here is to create one comprehensive data frame that consists of data from three sources. You should think of a team name and one person from the team should submit a file YourTeamNameStep2.R. The first lines of the file should be comments with the names of the people in your group, one name per line. Try to be unique in your choice of team name so that everyone has a different team name…

Sources:

1. 2012 Presidential Election results reported at the county level. The original data were from politico.com. These data are available at <http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/nolan/data/Project2012/countyVotes2012/xxx.xml>

Where the xxx.xml is replaced by one of the following

alabama.xml louisiana.xml oklahoma.xml

arizona.xml maine.xml oregon.xml

arkansas.xml maryland.xml pennsylvania.xml

california.xml massachusetts.xml rhode-island.xml

colorado.xml michigan.xml south-carolina.xml

connecticut.xml minnesota.xml south-dakota.xml

delaware.xml mississippi.xml stateNames.txt

district-of-columbia.xml missouri.xml tennessee.xml

florida.xml montana.xml texas.xml

georgia.xml nebraska.xml utah.xml

hawaii.xml nevada.xml vermont.xml

hrefs.txt new-hampshire.xml virginia.xml

idaho.xml new-jersey.xml washington.xml

illinois.xml new-mexico.xml west-virginia.xml

indiana.xml new-york.xml wisconsin.xml

iowa.xml north-carolina.xml wyoming.xml

kansas.xml north-dakota.xml

kentucky.xml ohio.xml

These state names are available at

<http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/nolan/data/Project2012/countyVotes2012/stateNames.txt>

You only need the data for % Democrat and % GOP, and there is no data for Alaska. You’ll need county information to match with the other datasets.

Here’s snippet the Alabama.xml file:

<table>

<thead>

<tr>

<th scope="col" class="results-county">County</th>

<th scope="col" class="results-candidate">Candidate</th>

<th scope="col" class="results-party">Party</th>

<th scope="col" class="results-percentage">% Popular Vote</th>

<th scope="col" class="results-popular">Popular Vote</th>

</tr>

</thead>

<tbody id="county1001">

<tr class="party-republican race-winner">

<th rowspan="5" class="results-county">Autauga

<span class="precincts-reporting">100.0% Reporting</span>

</th>

<th scope="row" class="results-candidate">M. Romney</th>

<td class="results-party">

<abbr title="Republican">GOP</abbr>

</td>

<td class="results-percentage">72.6%</td>

<td class="results-popular">17,366</td>

</tr>

<tr class="party-democrat">

<th scope="row" class="results-candidate">B. Obama (i)

</th>

<td class="results-party">

<abbr title="Democratic">Dem</abbr>

</td>

<td class="results-percentage">26.6%</td><td class="results-popular"> 6,354

</td>

</tr>…

1. Census data from the 2010 census available at <http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t>

These data are available in three CSV files: B01003.csv DP02.csv DP03.csv

These files each have an accompanying TXT file that describes the variables.

B01\_metadata.txt DP02\_metadata.txt DP03\_metadata.txt

Not all variables described in the meta data files are available. The DP02 file contains socio-data, DP03 contains economic data, and B01 contains race information. For example the DP03 file contains information on:

HC01\_VC04, EMPLOYMENT STATUS - Population 16 years and over

HC02\_VC13, EMPLOYMENT STATUS - Percent Unemployed

HC01\_VC31, COMMUTING TO WORK - Public transportation

HC01\_VC42, OCCUPATION - Service occupations

Be careful with the B01 file as the data are organized differently than with DP02 and DP03. Here’s a snippet:

GEO.id,GEO.id2,GEO.display-label,POPGROUP.id,POPGROUP.display-label, HD01\_VD01, HD02\_VD01

0500000US01001,01001,"Autauga County, Alabama",001,Total population,53155,\*\*\*\*\*

0500000US01001,01001,"Autauga County, Alabama",002,White alone,42031,185

0500000US01001,01001,"Autauga County, Alabama",004,Black or African American alone, 9508,116

0500000US01003,01003,"Baldwin County, Alabama",001,Total population,175791,\*\*\*\*\*

0500000US01003,01003,"Baldwin County, Alabama",002,White alone,151453,831

0500000US01003,01003,"Baldwin County, Alabama",004,Black or African American alone, 16613,416

All six of these files are available at <http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/nolan/data/Project2012/census2010/xxx.csv>

Include all variables in these files, with NAs for missing data. A lot of it won’t be useful in making predictions in STEP 3 and 4 but for now just include everything.

1. GML (Geographic Markup Language) data that contains the latitude and longitude for each county. These are available at

http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/nolan/data/Project2012/counties.gml

Here’s a snippet from this file:

<?xml version="1.0"?>

<doc xmlns:gml="http://www.opengis.net/gml">

<state>

<gml:name abbreviation="AL"> ALABAMA </gml:name>

<county>

<gml:name> Autauga County </gml:name>

<gml:location>

<gml:coord>

<gml:X> -86641472 </gml:X>

<gml:Y> 32542207 </gml:Y>

</gml:coord>

</gml:location>

</county>

**STEP 3. SUPERVISED LEARNING – due 11:55pm DEC 5. This is a soft deadline, and you won’t be penalized as long as you turn in everything by 11:55pm DEC 12.**

You can turn in the code for STEP 2-4 all together in one file called YourTeamNameFinal.R. It should run from start to finish, so it will first mash the data as you did in STEP 2 and then use that data. If you want to change your code from STEP 2 to make it work differently, that’s fine, we won’t look back at the STEP 2 code anyway.

Your goal here is to create two predictors for the 2012 election results using all these variables (except the actual 2012 results). I recommend 10-20 predictors. You can choose them based on an organized method of looking at correlations or plots, or you can go through and choose a few that you think will work well. You will use the 2004 election results (i.e. the winner in each county (Rep or Dem)) to train the predictors. If I had been a bit more organized I would have had you put that in the data.frame originally in STEP 2, but it’s pretty straightforward to match once you’ve done STEP 2 already. The data is at

http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~nolan/data/Project2012/countyVotes2004.txt

You are trying to solve the real world problem of predicting election results before the election happens, so you can only use data from before the election (i.e. the variables from the census as well as previous election results) to build the model.

1. *Recursive Partitioning* (rpart() in rpart package) – Read the documentation carefully and make sure that your data are of the correct types for use by rpart(). The method is “class”. Play around with the parameters for fitting the tree until you have a tree that you are satisfied with. To figure out how to do this, read the help for the rpart.control() function. Arguments to this function can be passed in the call to rpart() through its … argument.

You may find the following documentation helpful: <http://www.statmethods.net/advstats/cart.html> in addition to the package documentation at <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rpart/rpart.pdf>

Make a plot of your tree.

1. *Nearest Neighbor* – Use *k* nearest neighbors (the knn() function in R) to predict the winners of the 2012 election. A neighbor should be determined by geography (latitude and longitude) plus a few other features of a county. Play around with various values of *k* and with which variables to include in the distance calculation. The train set and test set will be the same – the data frame of longitude, latitude, and the other variables that you have chosen to include. The cl argument contains the winning party for the 2004 election. Ask for the proportion of votes among the *k* neighbors to be returned so that you can use this in determining the winner.

Have 2-3 people in your group work on A and 2-3 work on B. Indicate who does what by commenting in your code.

**STEP 4. PLOTS AND PREDICTION ASSESSMENT – DEC 5 (soft deadline, same as for STEP 3)**

Prepare a document in html that contains a set of plots with captions.

Use the two predictors developed in STEP 3 to predict winners in the 2012 election. Compare the two models. Did they do well in the same places? Dig deeper and explore where your model did well and where it did poorly.

1. Make plots that showcase your findings. Turn in 3 to 5 plots. (For example, show a plot like in HW 8 that shows misclassification for knn based on different values of k, plots for different numbers of variables used as predictors, etc.)
2. Fancy plot: make a map similar to the NYT map shown on the next page that compares the change in votes from 2004 to 2012. The length of the arrow is proportional to the vote shift from the 2004 to the 2012 election. If you don’t want to make this map, you can do something else of comparable difficulty, possibly involving new data and/or data from 2014 (non-presidential) election results (you’ll need to find the data).

Write captions for each of your plots describing the main features and how they make your points. Turn in an html document containing your plots, captions, and any other observations/comments.

